



# Mergers & Acquisitions

in 58 jurisdictions worldwide

# 2009

Contributing editor: Casey Cogut



Published by Getting The Deal Through  
in association with:

Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma  
ÆLEX  
Arendt & Medernach  
Arias, Fabrega & Fabrega  
Babic & Partners  
Baião, Castro & Associados | BCS Advogados  
Bianchi Rubino-Sammartano & Associati  
Biedecki, Biedecki & Ptak  
Bowman Gilfillan, Attorneys  
Brandão Teixeira Ricardo e Foz – Advogados  
Bruun & Hjejle  
Carey y Cía Ltda  
Cheok Sankaran Halim  
Coulson Harney  
Darrois Villey Maillot Brochier  
Debarliev Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at law  
Egorov Pugjinsky Afanasiev & Partners  
ELIG, Attorneys-at-Law  
Estudio Trevisán Abogados SC  
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP  
Gleiss Lutz  
Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados, AC  
Herzog, Fox & Neeman  
Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque Abogados  
Homburger AG  
Inyurpolis Law Firm  
José Lloreda Camacho & Co  
Kadir Andri & Partners  
Kim & Chang  
Klavins & Slaidins LAWIN  
Law Office Milovanović and Associates  
Law Office of Mohanned bin Saud Al-Rasheed  
in association with Baker Botts LLP  
Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai LAWIN  
Macleod Dixon LLP  
Malleons Stephen Jaques  
McCann FitzGerald  
McMillan LLP  
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu  
NautaDutilh  
Navarro Abogados  
Nishith Desai Associates  
Odvetnički Selih & partnerji  
Pellerano & Herrera  
Pérez-Llorca  
Schönherr  
Siegler Law Office/Weil, Gotshal & Manges  
Simont Braun SCRL  
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP  
Slaughter and May  
Thanathip & Partners  
Vlasova Mikhel & Partners  
Voicu & Filipescu  
Waselius & Wist  
Wolf Theiss  
WongPartnership LLP  
Wu & Partners, Attorneys-at-Law

10th anniversary  
edition

## Mergers & Acquisitions 2009

### Contributing editor

Casey Cogut  
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

### Business development manager

Joseph Samuel

### Marketing managers

Alan Lee  
Dan Brennan  
George Ingledew  
Edward Perugia  
Robyn Hetherington  
Dan White  
Tamzin Mahmoud

### Marketing assistant

Ellie Notley

### Subscriptions manager

Nadine Radcliffe  
Subscriptions@  
GettingTheDealThrough.com

### Assistant editor

Adam Myers

### Editorial assistants

Nick Drummond-Roe  
Charlotte North

### Senior production editor

Jonathan Cowie

### Subeditors

Jonathan Allen  
Kathryn Smuland  
Sara Davies  
Laura Zúñiga  
Ariana Frampton  
Sarah Dookhun

### Editor-in-chief

Callum Campbell

### Publisher

Richard Davey

### Mergers & Acquisitions 2009

Published by  
Law Business Research Ltd  
87 Lancaster Road  
London, W11 1QQ, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188  
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910  
© Law Business Research Ltd  
2009

No photocopying: copyright  
licences do not apply.

ISSN 1476-8127

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of June 2009, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by  
Encompass Print Solutions  
Tel: 0870 897 3239

Law

Business

Research

<b>Introduction</b> Casey Cogut and Sean Rodgers <i>Simpson Thacher &amp; Bartlett LLP</i>	<b>3</b>
<b>European Overview</b> Stephen Hewes and Tim Wilmot <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	<b>6</b>
<b>Argentina</b> Pablo Trevisán, Claudia Delgado, Laura Bierzychudek and Agustina Andreoli <i>Estudio Trevisán Abogados SC</i>	<b>10</b>
<b>Australia</b> Nigel Hunt and Jason Watts <i>Mallesons Stephen Jaques</i>	<b>17</b>
<b>Austria</b> Christian Herbst <i>Schönherr</i>	<b>24</b>
<b>Belarus</b> Tatiana Emelianova and Elena Kumashova <i>Vlasova Mikhel &amp; Partners</i>	<b>30</b>
<b>Belgium</b> Sandrine Hirsch and Vanessa Marquette <i>Simont Braun SCRL</i>	<b>35</b>
<b>Brazil</b> Maria PQ Brandão Teixeira <i>Brandão Teixeira Ricardo e Foz – Advogados</i>	<b>41</b>
<b>Brunei</b> Robin Cheok Van Kee <i>Cheok Sankaran Halim</i>	<b>47</b>
<b>Bulgaria</b> Kaloyan Todorov <i>Wolf Theiss</i>	<b>52</b>
<b>Canada</b> Sean Farrell and Robert McDermott <i>McMillan LLP</i>	<b>57</b>
<b>Chile</b> Pablo Iacobelli and Cristián Eyzaguirre <i>Carey y Cía Ltda</i>	<b>62</b>
<b>China</b> Martyn Huckerby, Sharon Wong and Erik Leyssens <i>Mallesons Stephen Jaques</i>	<b>67</b>
<b>Colombia</b> Enrique Álvarez and Santiago Gutierrez <i>José Lloreda Camacho &amp; Co</i>	<b>74</b>
<b>Croatia</b> Boris Babic, Marija Gregoric, Katarina Fulir and Boris Andrejas <i>Babic &amp; Partners</i>	<b>79</b>
<b>Czech Republic</b> Paul Sestak and Michal Pravda <i>Wolf Theiss</i>	<b>84</b>
<b>Denmark</b> Christian Schow Madsen <i>Bruun &amp; Hjejle</i>	<b>89</b>
<b>Dominican Republic</b> Marielle Garrigó and Juana Barceló <i>Pellerano &amp; Herrera</i>	<b>92</b>
<b>England &amp; Wales</b> Simon Robinson <i>Slaughter and May</i>	<b>95</b>
<b>Finland</b> Mikko Eerola, Mårten Knuts and Tom Fagemäs <i>Waselius &amp; Wist</i>	<b>103</b>
<b>France</b> Olivier Diaz <i>Darrois Villey Maillot Brochier</i>	<b>108</b>
<b>Germany</b> Gerhard Wegen and Christian Cascante <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	<b>113</b>
<b>Hong Kong</b> Larry Kwok and Cally Fang <i>Mallesons Stephen Jaques</i>	<b>121</b>
<b>Hungary</b> David Dederick, László Nagy and Eszter Katona <i>Siegler Law Office/Weil, Gotshal &amp; Manges</i>	<b>126</b>
<b>India</b> Kartik Ganapathy and Amrita Singh <i>Nishith Desai Associates</i>	<b>131</b>
<b>Ireland</b> Barry Devereux and David Lydon <i>McCann FitzGerald</i>	<b>139</b>
<b>Israel</b> Maya Alchek-Kaplan and Daniel Lipman <i>Lowbeer Herzog, Fox &amp; Neeman</i>	<b>145</b>
<b>Italy</b> Mauro Rubino-Sammartano, Carlo Boggio, Simone Rizzi and Marina Rubini <i>Bianchi Rubino-Sammartano &amp; Associati</i>	<b>150</b>
<b>Japan</b> Ryuji Sakai, Kayo Takigawa and Yushi Hegawa <i>Nagashima Ohno &amp; Tsunematsu</i>	<b>158</b>
<b>Kazakhstan</b> Yerzhan Kumarov <i>Macleod Dixon LLP</i>	<b>163</b>
<b>Kenya</b> Richard Harney <i>Coulson Harney</i>	<b>169</b>
<b>Korea</b> Jong Koo Park, Michael H Yu and Kyung Min Koh <i>Kim &amp; Chang</i>	<b>175</b>
<b>Latvia</b> Zane Bule and Reinis Pavars <i>Klavins &amp; Slaidins LAWIN</i>	<b>179</b>
<b>Lithuania</b> Rolandas Valiūnas and Sergej Butov <i>Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai LAWIN</i>	<b>184</b>
<b>Luxembourg</b> Guy Harles and Saskia Konsbruck <i>Arendt &amp; Medernach</i>	<b>191</b>
<b>Macedonia</b> Emilija Kelesoska Sholjakovska, Dragan Dameski and Elena Miceva <i>Debarliev Dameski &amp; Kelesoska Attorneys at law</i>	<b>198</b>
<b>Malaysia</b> E Sreesanthan <i>Kadir Andri &amp; Partners</i>	<b>204</b>
<b>Mexico</b> Jorge A Sánchez Dávila <i>Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados, AC</i>	<b>209</b>
<b>Netherlands</b> Willem Calkoen and Martin Grablowitz <i>NautaDutilh</i>	<b>215</b>
<b>Nigeria</b> Theophilus I Emuwa and Chinyerugo Ugoji <i>AÉLEX</i>	<b>222</b>
<b>Norway</b> Ole K Aabø-Evensen <i>Aabø-Evensen &amp; Co Advokatfirma</i>	<b>227</b>
<b>Panama</b> Rogelio de la Guardia <i>Arias, Fabrega &amp; Fabrega</i>	<b>234</b>
<b>Poland</b> Radoslaw Bieddecki, Ludomir Bieddecki and Michal Zolubak <i>Bieddecki, Bieddecki &amp; Ptak</i>	<b>238</b>
<b>Portugal</b> Victor de Castro Nunes, Maria José Andrade Campos and Cláudia de Meneses <i>Baião, Castro &amp; Associados   BCS Advogados</i>	<b>244</b>
<b>Romania</b> Simona Burghilea <i>Voicu &amp; Filipescu</i>	<b>250</b>
<b>Russia</b> Elena Sokolova and Vladislav Arkhipov <i>Egorov Puginsky Afanasiev &amp; Partners</i>	<b>255</b>
<b>Saudi Arabia</b> Babul Parikh and Rabie Masri <i>Law Office of Mohanned bin Saud Al-Rasheed in association with Baker Botts LLP</i>	<b>262</b>
<b>Serbia</b> Predrag Milovanović and Milica Isakov <i>Law Office Milovanović and Associates</i>	<b>268</b>
<b>Singapore</b> Wai King Ng and Fi Ling Quak <i>WongPartnership LLP</i>	<b>273</b>
<b>Slovenia</b> Nataša Pipan Nahtigal and Boštjan Kavšek <i>Odvetniki Šelih &amp; partnerji</i>	<b>281</b>
<b>South Africa</b> Ezra Davids and David Yuill <i>Bowman Gilfillan, Attorneys</i>	<b>287</b>
<b>Spain</b> Pedro Pérez-Llorca, Vicente Conde and Fernando Quicios <i>Pérez-Llorca</i>	<b>292</b>
<b>Switzerland</b> Claude Lambert, Dieter Gericke, Dieter Grünblatt and Gerald Brei <i>Homburger AG</i>	<b>298</b>
<b>Taiwan</b> Jerry Chen <i>Wu &amp; Partners, Attorneys-at-Law</i>	<b>305</b>
<b>Thailand</b> Thanathip Pichedvanichok and Chawaluck Sivayathorn <i>Thanathip &amp; Partners</i>	<b>310</b>
<b>Turkey</b> Tunç Lokmanhekim and Ali Ulvi Arkan <i>ELIG, Attorneys-at-Law</i>	<b>315</b>
<b>Ukraine</b> Olena Kibenko <i>Inyurpolis Law Firm</i>	<b>322</b>
<b>United States</b> Casey Cogut and Sean Rodgers <i>Simpson Thacher &amp; Bartlett LLP</i>	<b>328</b>
<b>Uruguay</b> Alfredo Navarro Castex and Alfredo H Navarro <i>Navarro Abogados</i>	<b>333</b>
<b>Venezuela</b> Fernando Pelaez Pier and Jorge Acedo <i>Hoet Peláez Castillo &amp; Duque Abogados</i>	<b>337</b>
<b>Appendix: International merger control</b> David E Vann Jr and Ellen L Frye <i>Simpson Thacher &amp; Bartlett LLP</i>	<b>341</b>

# Chile

## Pablo Iacobelli and Cristián Eyzaguirre

Carey y Ciá Ltda

### 1 Form

How may businesses combine?

The most common forms of business combinations are the following.

#### Purchases of shares or assets of the target company

Purchases of shares are relatively free of restrictions unless the target company is a public corporation (ie, a company that publicly trades its shares or which has its shares registered with the Chilean securities and insurance regulator, the SVS); one of the parties to the transaction participates in a regulated industry; or the entity resulting from a business combination raises competition issues. Sales of 50 per cent or more of the target company's assets and mergers require prior approval by a shareholders' meeting of the target company or of the parties to the merger, with the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the issued and outstanding voting shares' of stock.

#### Merger

Whether through the absorption of one company by another or through the creation of a new entity.

#### Tender offer for the shares of the target company

Since enactment of the Chilean Tender Offer Law in December 2000, the only way – unless a legal exemption is available – to take over a public corporation is through a tender offer, the procedures of which are regulated in detail in the Securities Act, ensuring equal opportunity and fair dealing among all shareholders of the target company.

#### Contractual joint venture or through the incorporation of a legal entity

There are neither specific rules nor a framework regarding joint ventures in Chile, which are governed by contractual law or the relevant legal entity regulation, as the case may be.

### 2 Statutes and regulations

What are the main laws and regulations governing business combinations?

The Civil and Commercial Codes, the Limited Liability Company Act, the Corporation Act (with its regulation), the Securities Act, the regulations issued by the SVS in the case of public corporations and, under certain circumstances, the Competition and Antitrust Act.

### 3 Governing law

What law typically governs the transaction agreements?

Chilean law typically governs the transaction agreements.

### 4 Filings and fees

Which government or stock exchange filings are necessary in connection with a business combination? Are there stamp taxes or other government fees in connection with completing a business combination?

No filings are necessary in connection with business combinations, except when the target company participates in a regulated business, such as banks, insurance companies, pension fund administrators (AFP), mass media, or casinos, among others.

#### Banks

Prior authorisation by the Chilean banking regulator, the SBIF, will be necessary wherever more than 10 per cent of the capital shares of a bank is acquired; a bank acquires shares in another bank; and a bank or group of banks achieve a significant market share as a result of a merger, acquisition of assets, takeover or increase in ownership at another bank.

#### Insurance companies

Filing with the SVS will be necessary upon the acquisition of a 10 per cent or greater share of the capital of an insurance company. The shareholder acquiring such interest must report the identity of its controlling partners to the SVS.

#### AFPs

Acquisition of 10 per cent or more of the shares of an AFP requires prior authorisation from the Superintendency of AFP.

#### Mass media

Any relevant event or act in connection with the transformation or change of ownership or control in a media company must be reported to the Antitrust Court within 30 days of its completion. However, in the case of media companies subject to the state-sponsored licensing system, relevant events or acts must be the subject of a previous report prepared by the Antitrust Court assessing their impact on the media market. This report must be issued within 30 days of the filing of the application, otherwise to be deemed as not meriting any objection.

#### Casinos

Transfer of shares of the corporation operating casinos in Chile requires the prior authorisation by the Superintendencia de Casinos de Juego (the casino regulator).

There are no stamp taxes or other governmental fees payable in connection with completing a business combination.

## 5 Information to be disclosed

What information needs to be made public in a business combination? Does this depend on what type of structure is used?

The kind and level of information that needs to be made public in a business combination depends on the type of structure and entities involved. Generally, transactions between private companies in businesses other than those mentioned in question 4 have no disclosure requirement.

In transactions requiring the approval by the shareholders' meeting of a public corporation, the shareholders, the SVS and the stock exchange where the corporation is listed must receive, at least 15 days before the date of the meeting, any report prepared for the meeting (eg, valuation reports and audited financial statements of the companies to be merged), in addition to the notice of the shareholders meeting with the matters to be submitted for approval.

Takeover of a public corporation by means other than a tender offer (explained below) requires the person that, directly or indirectly, intends to take control of the public corporation, to previously disclose such intention to the public in general. For such purposes, a written communication must be sent to the target company, to the companies that control or are controlled by the target, to the SVS and to the stock exchanges on which the target's securities are traded. With the same purpose, a prominent notice must be published in two nationally circulated newspapers at least 10 business days prior to the closing of the deal.

The contents of such written communication and publication are determined by the SVS. Once the takeover is completed, the new controlling shareholder must, within two business days from closing of the transaction, publish a notice disclosing said event in the same newspapers and send a written communication to the above-mentioned persons.

When the takeover is carried out through a tender offer, the bidder shall:

- publish a prominent note in two nationally circulated newspapers the day before to the commencement of the offer;
- make available to the public (and deliver to the SVS and the stock exchanges) as of the date of the notice of commencement of the tender offer and during the term of such offer, a prospectus containing all the terms and conditions; and
- publish the results of the tender offer on the third day after the expiration of the term of the offer or extension thereof in the same newspapers in which it published the notice of commencement, describing the total number of shares received, the number of shares that it will acquire, the pro rata factor, if applicable, and the percentage of control it will achieve as a result of the tender offer.

In addition, each board member of the target company shall prepare and issue a written report in which they express their opinion as to the suitability of the offer to the shareholders. Such report must indicate the relationship of each director with the controlling person of the issuer and with the offeror and if each such director has an interest in the transaction.

## 6 Disclosure of substantial shareholdings

What are the disclosure requirements for owners of large shareholdings in a company? Are the requirements affected if the company is a party to a business combination?

The Securities Act and regulations enacted thereunder by the SVS provide that any person who, either directly or through other persons or legal entities, owns or acquires 10 per cent or more of the capital of a public corporation, shall report his stock ownership to

the SVS and to the stock exchanges where such company has listed its shares, within two business days after any purchase or any transfer of shares is made. The directors and managers of a public corporation, notwithstanding the number of shares they own, must disclose their stockholding after any such transactions.

In addition, the reporting party must inform whether the purchase of shares seeks to acquire control of the company or will just be a financial investment.

These disclosure requirements are not affected if the company is a party to a business combination.

## 7 Duties of directors and controlling shareholders

What duties do the directors or managers of a company owe to the company's shareholders, creditors and other stakeholders in connection with a business combination? Do controlling shareholders have similar duties?

Directors have a fiduciary duty to the corporation and they have to perform their role in the best interest of all shareholders and not merely in the interest of those who elected them. In exercising their duties, board members must exercise due care and they are jointly and severally liable for damages caused to the corporation and its shareholders due to their wilful or negligent conduct.

Controlling shareholders owe no fiduciary duties to the corporation or the rest of the shareholders, but the Corporation Act establishes that every shareholder must exercise its rights in the company respecting the rights of both the corporation and the rest of the shareholders.

## 8 Approval and appraisal rights

What approval rights do shareholders have over business combinations? Do shareholders have appraisal or similar rights in business combinations?

Shareholders' approval by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the issued voting shares is required to dissolve, transform, merge or split up the corporation, issue bonds or debentures that may be converted into shares, sell more than 50 per cent of the corporation's assets and grant real or personal guarantees to secure third-party obligations (except in the case of subsidiary corporations, in which case the approval of the board of directors shall be sufficient).

The approval by the shareholders' meeting of the transformation, merger, sale of 50 per cent or more of the total assets of the company and granting of real or personal guarantees to secure third-party obligations, among other cases, gives dissenting shareholders the right to withdraw from the company. In this case, the company has to purchase the dissenter's shares. The purchase price of the shares depends on whether the shares are listed on a stock exchange and how great their trading volume is. If they are listed and have a significant trading volume, the purchase price will be the average market price during the two months immediately before the shareholders' meeting that triggered the dissent. In all other cases, the purchase price will be the book value.

Likewise, should a person acquire a shareholding equal to or in excess of two-thirds of the issued voting shares of a public corporation, such person shall launch a tender offer for all the remaining shares of the corporation at a price at least equivalent to the price that would have been paid to a dissenting shareholder.

If such person does not launch the tender offer within 30 days, further to any administrative sanctions that the SVS may impose, all shareholders shall have the right to withdraw and to have their shares purchased by the corporation.

## 9 Hostile transactions

What are the special considerations for unsolicited (hostile) transactions?

Unsolicited (hostile) transactions are extremely rare in Chile because almost all of the public corporations have a controlling shareholder with a stake large enough to block any takeover attempt (either as a tender offer or a proxy campaign).

Further, although defensive tactics are not expressly banned, the tender offer regulation provides that during the offer the target company may not acquire its own shares, approve the creation of subsidiaries, dispose of assets representing more than 5 per cent of its total assets; and increase its indebtedness by more than 10 per cent. The SVS, however, may authorise such transactions provided that they do not adversely affect the normal tender offer process.

## 10 Break-up fees – frustration of additional bidders

Which types of break-up and reverse break-up fees are allowed? What are the limitations on a company's ability to protect deals from third-party bidders?

Break-up fees and reverse break-up fees are allowed in Chile and parties to a business combination are free to agree in whatever terms to such break-up as long as the amount of the fee is considered reasonable and not disproportionate (considering the opportunity cost and value created by the bidder). However, there is no relevant statutory or judicial guideline to construe what is a reasonable break up fee.

In general, there is no restriction for Chilean companies to give financial assistance in connection with a business combination. However, if, at the time of the purchase, the purchaser of the shares owns 10 per cent or more of the company whose shares it is acquiring or can appoint at least one board member, the financial assistance to be provided by the target company must be approved by the board on arm's length conditions similar to those prevailing on the market. Should the target company wish to have its assets used to secure the buyer's loan with a third party, a special shareholders' meeting of the target company must approve such guarantee by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the issued voting shares.

Exceptionally, banks are prohibited from granting loans, directly or indirectly, with the purpose of allowing the debtor to pay the shares issued by such bank.

## 11 Government influence

Other than through relevant competition (antitrust) regulations, or in specific industries in which business combinations are regulated, may government agencies influence or restrict the completion of business combinations including for reasons of national security?

Generally no, but the SVS may intervene in a business combination involving a public company to oversee that all the requirements and provisions determined by law are fulfilled.

## 12 Conditional offers

What conditions to a tender offer, exchange offer or other form of business combination are allowed? In a cash acquisition, may the financing be conditional?

Tender offers and exchange offers are irrevocable, but the bidder may include objective conditions of cancellation in the notice of commencement and the offer prospectus. For example, that a certain minimum of shares are tendered to the bidder or that certain provisions of the by-laws of the target company are amended. However,

the tender offer cannot be conditioned to the financing and the prospectus must set forth how the bidder will finance the payment for the shares' purchase price.

In other types of cash acquisitions, there is no restriction (other than the seller's willingness) on including the financing of the purchase price or other terms as conditions precedent to close a business combination.

## 13 Minority squeeze-out

May minority stockholders be squeezed out? If so, what steps must be taken and what is the time frame for the process?

Unless shareholders consent to lose their status as shareholder of a corporation, no person shall lose such status as a consequence of an exchange of shares, merger, incorporation of a new entity, transformation or division of such corporation.

Further, shareholders have a legal pre-emptive right to subscribe in proportion to the shares they hold any newly issued share, debentures convertible into shares or any other securities that may confer future rights over those shares. This pre-emptive right may be waived and transferred by the shareholders to third parties.

## 14 Cross-border transactions

How are cross-border transactions structured? Do specific laws and regulations apply to cross-border transactions?

There is no specific legal or regulatory framework in Chile governing cross-border transactions. However, companies must comply with the law of Chile and the other relevant jurisdiction.

Foreign investment in Chile and the repatriation of an investment and its profits must be carried out through two different legal frameworks: chapter XIV of the Compendium of Foreign Exchange Regulations of the Central Bank of Chile or the Foreign Investment Statute, Decree Law No. 600.

Foreign exchange transactions may be freely carried out by any person. However, certain transactions must be reported to the Central Bank of Chile, or carried out in the formal foreign exchange market (the FEM, comprising commercial banks and other authorised entities) or both.

In general terms, a person that purchases or sells foreign currency is not required to report such transaction to the Central Bank of Chile. However, purchases and sales of currency in amounts equal or higher than US\$10,000 must be reported to the tax authorities by entities of the exchange market.

## 15 Waiting or notification periods

Other than competition laws, what are the relevant waiting or notification periods for completing business combinations? Are companies in specific industries subject to additional regulations and statutes?

If the target company is a public corporation, the takeover notice referred to in question 5 is mandatory. Likewise, when the transaction is structured through a tender offer, such offer must be open for no less than 20 and no more than 30 days. The offeror may, however, extend the tender offer once only for a minimum of five and a maximum of 15 days.

There are special procedures applicable on the transfer in specific industries like those set forth in question 4.

### Update and trends

Thanks to the conservative fiscal policy of the government and the strength of the local financial market, Chile has been able to keep itself relatively isolated from the global financial crisis.

Additionally, low country risk, decreasing interest rates and the temporary elimination of stamp tax have permitted local companies to obtain and maintain the financing required for their future projects. Moreover, companies are taking advantage of these circumstances by refinancing their short-term debt through the issuance of bonds.

During the last year the most active sector in the M&A market was retail, especially supermarket chains. This trend has been characterised by the purchases of small and medium supermarket

chains made by two new operators, Southern Cross Group, a private equity fund, and Saieh-Rendic's group. Also remarkable was the takeover of D&S, one of the biggest Chilean supermarket operators, by Wal-Mart.

Finally, there is a bill of law currently under discussion in Congress to reform corporate governance in public corporations and state-owned companies. Probably such bill will pass into law during this year and will have an indirect impact on business combinations (among other matters, squeeze-out, disclosure obligations, election of board members, conflict of interest with board members and controlling shareholders and approvals by shareholders' meetings).

### 16 Tax issues

What are the basic tax issues involved in business combinations?

Share transactions must be generally effected at fair market value. The sale of shares is subject to income tax when a gain is obtained. The gain corresponds to any difference between the tax basis of the shares and the actual sales price. The general rule is that the tax basis in the sale of shares is their acquisition price, adjusted for inflation from the month preceding the acquisition to the month preceding the sale. Any amount exceeding the tax basis will be a taxable gain. The effective taxes applicable on the gain differ depending on the circumstances surrounding the sale of the shares. If the shares are sold by a non-habitual trader of the shares to an unrelated entity at least one year after their acquisition, the gain is subject only to a 17 per cent corporate tax. If any of these requirements is not met in a particular sale, the transaction will generally be subject to the same 17 per cent first category tax, plus the 35 per cent additional withholding tax, if the seller is a foreign non-resident or non-domiciled individual or entity (general tax regime). The 17 per cent corporate tax paid is a credit against the additional withholding tax due. If the seller is a local company, only the 17 per cent tax is applied until the local company makes a remittance of profits to its foreign shareholder.

If the seller is a non-resident taxpayer and the buyer is a resident taxpayer, the buyer may be required to withhold part of the purchase price to cover the tax liabilities of the seller arising from the sales transaction.

There are special tax treatments for capital gains realised: on the sale of stock by a foreign institutional investor, on the sale of stock that is actively traded on a stock exchange, and on the sale of stock acquired prior to 1984.

Sales of offshore vehicles may be treated as a sale of a local company if the purchaser is a resident taxpayer. Sales of interests in a Chilean limited liability company are subject to special tax rules, both in relation to the applicable tax bases and the tax rate.

There are no transfer or indirect taxes imposed on either the sale of shares or in the sale of interest in a limited liability company.

Gains arising from the sale of assets are subject to the general tax regime. Gains are represented by the positive difference between tax basis and the sale price. Sale of inventory is subject to value added tax. Sale of fixed assets may be subject to value added tax, depending on the time elapsed since its acquisition.

Chile has enacted tax-free reorganisation rules which are mainly targeted at internal group restructuring, but which may sometimes be used in transactions with third parties. Reorganisation rules mainly allow implementing tax-free divisions, mergers and contributions. It is worth mentioning that reorganisation rules do not apply to sales.

### 17 Labour and employee benefits

What is the basic regulatory framework governing labour and employee benefits in a business combination?

According to the Labour Code, changes in connection with the ownership, possession or holding of enterprises, will not affect the rights and obligations of the employees pursuant to their individual or collective employment contracts. Those contracts will remain in effect and continue with the new employer. Please note that under Chilean labour and social security regulations, 'enterprise' means any organisation of personal, material and immaterial means (resources) ordered under an administration to achieve economic, social, cultural or charitable goals that has a certain legal individuality. Therefore, according to such provision, employment contracts would not terminate because of the transfer of the business (either by sale or merger). On the contrary the employment contracts of all the employees would automatically continue with the new owner. Indeed, by mere operation of the law, all the employment contracts will continue in full force and effect with the new owner, with the same rights and obligations, including seniority, vacation time, unions, etc.

A business combination is not subject to consultation with, or authorisation from, a any labour authority, works council, labour union or other similar body.

### 18 Restructuring, bankruptcy or receivership

What are the special considerations for business combinations involving a target company that is in bankruptcy or receivership or engaged in a similar restructuring?

Bankruptcy is primarily a procedure for the orderly liquidation and winding up of the debtor. Its main purpose is to liquidate in a single preceding all assets pertaining to an individual or legal entity, to pay its debts to its creditors and not to protect the debtor.

The most important effects of the bankruptcy declaration are: the preclusion of the debtor's ability to administrate, manage and dispose its assets; the creditors and their respective rights are determined; and suspension of the creditors' right to initiate or continue separate actions for compulsory collection.

The trustee or any creditor may claim that any sales, transfers and conveyances of the debtor's assets (among other possible transactions) in connection with a business combination executed during the so called 'suspect period' (up to two years before the debtor's bankruptcy declaration) is fraudulent and shall be declared null and void.

Creditors may agree, with special majorities, on the effective continuation of the debtor's business or on the disposal of the whole or part of the bankrupt estate as an ensemble or economic unit.

Effective continuation of the debtors' business requires the approval of a minimum of two-thirds of the credits with voting rights. Should the mortgagees and pledgees vote in favour of the effective continuation, their rights to initiate or continue separate foreclosure is thereby suspended, provided, of course, that the mortgaged or pledged assets form part of the debtor's business subject to the agreement of effective continuation.

On the other hand, the resolution regarding the disposal of the whole or part of the bankrupt estate as an ensemble or economic unit requires a specific proposition made by the trustee, as well as the approval of the bankrupt debtor and the majority of the credits with voting rights. The most important consequence of this agreement is that it suspends the right of mortgagees and pledgees to initiate or continue foreclosure separately from the other creditors, even if they do not give their consent to this agreement, provided the secured assets form part of the economic unit.

**CAREY Y CIA.**  
Ltda.  
A B O G A D O S

**Pablo Iacobelli**  
**Cristián Eyzaguirre**

**piacobelli@carey.cl**  
**ceyzaguirre@carey.cl**

Miraflores 222, piso 24  
832-0198 Santiago  
Chile

Tel: +56 2 365 7200  
Fax: +56 2 633 1980  
www.carey.cl

# GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

## Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport	Merger Control
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Mergers & Acquisitions
Arbitration	Mining
Banking Regulation	Oil Regulation
Cartel Regulation	Patents
Construction	Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Copyright	Private Antitrust Litigation
Corporate Governance	Private Equity
Dispute Resolution	Product Liability
Dominance	Project Finance
e-Commerce	Public Procurement
Electricity Regulation	Real Estate
Environment	Restructuring & Insolvency
Franchise	Securities Finance
Gas Regulation	Shipping
Insurance & Reinsurance	Tax on Inbound Investment
Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Telecoms and Media
Labour & Employment	Trademarks
Licensing	Vertical Agreements

**For more information or to  
purchase books, please visit:**  
[www.GettingTheDealThrough.com](http://www.GettingTheDealThrough.com)



Strategic research partners of  
the ABA International section



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS  
FOR ENTERPRISE  
2006



The Official Research Partner of  
the International Bar Association